

Application No.: 10/658,985

Amendment dated: March 21, 2006

Reply to Restriction Requirement dated: March 2, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Restriction Requirement dated March 2, 2006, the Examiner asks the Applicant to elect a group of the claims as the inventions are distinct, each from the other. Applicants have elected with traverse (Group I) claims 1-17, drawn to a method, classified in class 264, subclass 4, and cancelled (Group II) claims 18-49, drawn to a fixture, classified in class 264, subclass 101.

Request for Allowance

It is believed that this Amendment places the application in condition for allowance, and early favorable consideration of this Amendment is earnestly solicited.


If, in the opinion of the Examiner, an interview would expedite the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

The Office is hereby authorized to charge any fees, or credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 11-0600.

Respectfully submitted,

KENYON & KENYON LLP

Dated: March 21, 2006

By: 
Sumit Bhattacharya
(Reg. No. 51,469)

KENYON & KENYON LLP
333 West San Carlos St., Suite 600
San Jose, CA 95110

Telephone: (408) 975-7500
Facsimile: (408) 975-7501

83688_1.DOC

- 5 -